```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                         FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
 3
    CAPANA SWISS ADVISORS AG, a
    Swiss corporation; AMERIMARK
                                         CERTIFIED COP
    AUTOMOTIVE AG, a Swiss
   corporation,
 5
 6
            Plaintiffs,
                                         Case No. 2:23-cv-00467
    v.
 7
    RYMARK, INC., a Utah corporation; *
                                         Judge: Robert J. Shelby
   NICHOLAS THAYNE MARKOSIAN, an
    individual; JOHN KIRKLAND, an
                                      * Magistrate Judge:
    individual; and VICKY SMALL, an
                                               Cecilia M. Romero
    individual,
10
            Defendants.
11
    RYMARK, INC., a Utah corporation;*
    and NICHOLAS THAYNE MARKOSIAN,
12
    an individual;
13
            Counter Claimants,
14
    v.
15
    CAPANA SWISS ADVISORS AG, a
    Swiss corporation, and AMERIMARK *
    AUTOMOTIVE AG, a Swiss
    corporation,
17
18
            Counter Defendants.
19
2.0
                               DEPOSITION OF
21
                            Stefan Kammerlander
22
                             September 3, 2024
23
24
            Reported by:
                            Spencer Von Jarrett, RPR No. 993793
25
```

- 1 and I'm not going to ask John to agree to it right now, but I just
- 2 want to reserve my rights on that.
- 3 Do you understand that?
- 4 A. I understood what you said.
- 5 Q. Thank you. Just now you referenced reviewing invoices
- 6 from Venable.
- 7 I'm not going to ask you what those invoices say, but
- 8 does Capana pay Venable's invoices?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is anyone else besides Capana financing this litigation?
- 11 Q. What do you mean?
- MR. WORDEN: I'll object to the term "finance", because
- 13 it's a very special meaning.
- But you can answer.
- 15 Q. Yeah, I'm happy to rephrase.
- 16 Is anyone else providing funds for this litigation that
- 17 you use to pay your attorneys?
- 18 A. Of course you also need funding, yes. Capana is not
- 19 that rich that can pay lawyers.
- 20 Q. Sure. So do you have a specific agreement with a third
- 21 party where that third party has agreed to fund this litigation,
- 22 meaning pay Capana's expenses in this litigation?
- A. Not as such, no.
- Q. John Simkiss isn't funding this litigation in any
- 25 capacity?

- 1 A. We do not have an agreement with John saying or agreeing
- 2 to finance everything. We do not have that.
- But we do have loan agreements with him, yes.
- 4 Q. So you've borrowed money from John Simkiss?
- 5 A. Yes, we did.
- 6 Q. And I assume you could use that money to pay for this
- 7 litigation or something else if you liked, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. But the terms of those loans do not require you to use
- 10 the money for this litigation, is that fair to say?
- 11 A. Fair to say.
- 12 Q. Is anyone else besides Mr. Simkiss making loans to
- 13 Capana right now?
- 14 A. No, it's the -- yes. Josh McMorrow [phonetic] did a
- 15 loan.
- Q. Same question: do the terms of that loan say anything
- 17 about this litigation?
- 18 A. I don't recall.
- 19 Q. Martin Nolle is not funding this litigation, correct?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 O. I didn't think so.
- 22 A. Just for your knowledge, he was a plaintiff, and yeah.
- Q. And you've since settled with him, is that correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. Mr. Kammerlander, I appreciate your patience today.